U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT RECORD


For Public Land Survey Corners In:

WI ADMINISTRATIVE CODE A-E 7.08 REQUIREMENT

T. 3 N. - R. 10 E. of the 4th P.M.

CORNER CODE INDEX

(PLACE "" SYMBOL AT PROPER PLSS CORNER LOCATION)



MAGNOLIA TWP ROCK COUNTY WI

COORDINATES

(GNSS DERIVED VIA WISCORS)

M NORTHING: ______273290.18 ____ ELEV: __N/A

EASTING: ____416542.27


Hor. Datum: WCCS-ROCK, (NA2011)


Vertical Datum: NAVD 88, (If applicable)

(a) LEGEND

O - ALUMINUM MONUMENT FOUND

(#) - PREVIOUS TIE-SHEET DIMENSION





MAGNOLIA

2013 FIELD BOOK 20 PAGE #

CORNER CODE T-13(1)

597M.dwg 1/8/2014 13:40:07

B) Describe any record evidence, monument evidence, occupational evidence, testimonial evidence or any other material evidence you considered, and whether the monument was found or placed.

I found the aluminum monument and ties as shown on a Volume 5, Page 17 tie-sheet filed in 1974. Those same monuments were referenced on tie sheet T-13 in 1988. The '88 sheet does not note any distances and simply refers to the '74 record. I accepted the aluminum monument and three pipes as found; the fourth witness was straightened and resurveyed. This location is a cut area with the aluminum monument at the road grade and witnesses in steep backslopes and/or at the top of slope; tie-distance discrepancies exist as noted.

C) Was the corner restored through acceptance of (1) an obliterated evidence location, or, (2) a found perpetuated location?

Found perpetuated location.

D) Describe any material discrepancy between the location of the corner as restored or reestablished and the location of that corner as previously restored or reestablished by distance and direction. Show the discrepancy on the plan view drawing under (a), above. Show the distances between the corner as previously restored or reestablished and (1) the corner as restored or reestablished, and (2) to at least 4 of the witness monuments shown on the drawing in (a), above.

I did not find a discrepancy between the corner restored and a previously documented corner location. *Discrepancy* being defined as *disagreeing* with a corner location, *not* as noting dimensional differences between current/former tie sheets due to measuring methods. The current and *most recent* tie-sheet dimensions filed for the corner are noted.

(E) Was the corner reestablished through lost corner proportionate methods? If so, show the method, including the directions and distances to other public land survey corners used as evidence or used for proportioning the corner location.

N/A

I, **Jason R. Houle**, hereby certify that the corner location as identified by the corner code index was determined by me under my direction and control and that this U.S. Public Land Survey Monument Record is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

JASON P.
HOULE
S-2331
CORNER CODE: T-13(1)
WI
(A.E. 7.08 REQUIREMENT)
Page 2 of 2