

Paper weight-110# (minimum)

Black nonfading ink required

U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT RECORD

For Public Land Survey Corners In:

WI ADMINISTRATIVE CODE A-E 7.08 REQUIREMENT

T. 4 N. R. 11 E. 4th P.M.

REV 10/23/2004

CSMONREC.DWG

CORNER CODE INDEX

Donald Bane TWP

ROCK COUNTY WI

IF AVAILABLE

ROCK COUNTY COORDINATES PREFERRED

A	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	A
B		6					5					4					3				2				1	B
C																										C
D																										D
E																										E
F																										F
G																										G
H																										H
J																										J
K																										K
L																										L
M																										M
N																										N
O																										O
P																										P
Q																										Q
R																										R
S																										S
T																										T
U																										U
V																										V
W																										W
X																										X
Y																										Y
Z																										Z

North _____ Elev. _____

East _____

Hor. Datum _____ Vert. Datum _____

LATITUDE _____ ELLIPS. HT. _____

LONGITUDE _____

NAD83(91) _____ NETWORK ADJ. YR _____

GPS (X) _____ TRAVERSE (X) _____

BASED ON THE FOLLOWING CONTROL: _____

PLACE MONUMENT SYMBOL AT PROPER LOCATION ON ABOVE INDEX

CORNER MONUMENT

WITNESS MONUMENT

(a)

BEARINGS ARE BASED ON: -----

FIELD BOOK _____ PAGE # _____

SHEET 1 OF 4

SHOW A PLAN VIEW DRAWING DEPICTING THE CORNER MONUMENT AND SURROUNDING PHYSICAL FEATURES, INCLUDING THE EXCAVATION AREA AND DEPTH.

PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE ADOPTED THIS FORM ON 12/2/1982

CORNER CODE A-21(1)

PO 511 NEGORSEC2

(B) Describe any record evidence, monument evidence, occupational evidence, testimonial evidence or any other material evidence you considered, and whether the monument was found or placed.

- 1833 (SEP) BRINK & MULLETT T5N R11E WEST ON SOUTH SIDE
SECTION 36 VAR 9-23
2640.0 SET QUARTER SECTION POST BUR OAK 10 N67W
11.22'; BUR OAK 14 N25E 38.94'
3168.0 BUR OAK 12 IN DIAMETER
4224.0 ENTER MARSH
4488.0 LEAVE MARSH
5280.00 SET POST CORNER SECTION 35 & 36 WHITE OAK
14 N79W 25.08'; BLACK OAK 16 N23E 84.48'
LAND SECOND RATE FIRST 1/2 ROLLING LAST 1/2
LEVEL TIMBERED BLACK WHITE AND BUR OAK
- 1833 (SEP) BRINK & MULLETT T5N R11E WEST ON SOUTH SIDE
SECTION 35
2640 SET QUARTER SECTION POST BUR OAK 10 N48E
25.08'; WHITE OAK 14 N34W 81.84'
3591.72 WHITE OAK 14IN DIAMETER
5032.50 CATFISH RIVER 171.6' @ SOUTH
5280.0 SET POST CORNER SECTIONS 34 & 35 BLACK OAK
11 N21E 7.26'; WHITE OAK 12 N63W 110.22'
LAND ROLLING SECOND RATE AND THINLY
TIMBERED WITH BLACK WHITE AND BUR OAK
- 1833 (OCT 30TH) HARRISON T4N R11E NORTH BETWEEN SECS 1 & 2
1298.88 WHITE OAK 12 IN DIAM
2640.00 QUARTER SEC COR. {BURR OAK 10 S9W 9.9'; BURR
OAK 12 N49E 29.70' {BURR OAK 10; BURR OAK
12} MARKED
2772.0 MARSH
4488.0 LEAVE SAME CRS NE
5255.58 INT. N. BDY 32.34' EAST OF POST {WHITE OAK 18"
S25E 85.14'; BURR OAK 12 S25W 58.08' LAND
ROLLING 2ND RATE WITH RED, BURR, AND WHITE
OAK ? ? GRASS & WEEDS
OCT 30TH 1833
- 1833 ORIG GOV PLAT NW COR SEC 1 PORTER TWP IS 32.34' EAST
OF SW COR SEC 35 DUNKIRK TWP.
- 1872 GRAVES VOL 6 PG 354 COPIED FROM DANE COUNTY
SURVEYORS' RECORDS BK G PG 570. [2/11/1986-DON
BARNES]. SHOWS NW COR SEC 1 AS 29.5' EAST OF SW COR
SEC 36 DUNKIRK TWP, 2621 FROM SW TO W 1/4 COR SEC 36.
SURVEYED ON THE ABOVE PLATTED SECTION 36, ON THE 21
& 22 DAY OF MAY 1872 COMMENCE AT THE NORTH QUARTER
COR. SET STONE IN CORNER RUN EAST TO NE COR STAKE
GONE FD 1 STUMP THE OTHERS ARE IN THE ROADS: SET
STONE FOR COR DIVIDED UP THE NE 1/4 AS PLATED
AMONG THE SEVERAL OWNERS AND SET STONES AT ALL OF
THE CORNERS. I CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRRCT AS
SURVEYED BY ME S.W. GRAVES COUNTY SURVEYOR.
- 1874 RUGER VOL 2 PG 128 [ORIG REC VOL 2 PG 86] FD OLD
STAKE & STUMPS OF BTS. DROVE STAKE SURROUNDED IT BY
4 HH STONES. MAP SHOWS 32.34' EAST OF SW COR SEC 36
BUT STATES NOTHING ABOUT THE SW COR OF SEC 36. VAR 8-
1B 2646.60 TO N 1/4 COR SEC 1 & VAR 8-1B 2646.60 FROM
N 1/4 COR TO NE COR SEC 1. @ S 1/4 COR SEC 36 FD

SHEET 1 OF 4
CORNER CODE A-21(1)

Donald Barnes
6-10-2009

PO 511

NECORSEC 2

- LIMESTONE ON COR. ALSO FD WHERE STUMPS OF B.T. HAD BEEN DUG UP. CALLS FOR N 1/4 COR SEC 1 AS 36.96' EAST OF S 1/4 COR SEC 36 AND VAR OF LINES INDICATES N 1/4 COR SEC 1 IS ON A STRAIGHT LINE BETWEEN THE NE & NW COR SEC 1.
- 1885 DOUGLAS VOL 6 PG 354 COPIED FROM DANE COUNTY SURVEYORS' RECORDS BK G PG 570. ESTABLISHED THE SW COR ALTHOUGH WITH DIFFICULTY - COULD FIND ONLY ONE OF THE BEARING STUMPS BUT TRACED THE WEST LINE OF THE SECTION (SEC 36) AND THINK THE CORNER IS CORRECT AS IT COMPARED ABOUT RIGHT WITH THE ROCK COUNTY CORNER BEARING AS FOLLOWED TO A STAKE WITH STONES AROUND IT BLK OAK 10 IN N17E 56.43"; BLACK OAK 6 INCH N36W 56.76' JOHN DOUGLAS COUNTY SURVEYOR.
- 1888 LEE VOL 2 PG 422 FD STAKE & STONES & STUMPS OF BTS. SEC 35 DUNKIRK TWP. SE COR SEC 35 DUNKIRK TWP SET OAK STAKE SURROUNDED BY HARD HEADS. SHOWS NE COR SEC 2 EAST OF SE COR SEC 35. 2651.02 BETWEEN S 1/4 COR SEC 35 & SE COR SEC 35. S 1/4 COR SEC 35 LOST RE-EST. IT MIDWAY
- 1973 WEIR #3537 STATES NOTHING ABOUT MON. 2644.62 TO N 1/4 COR SEC 2.
- 1977 NORTHROP #3546 TIE SHEET FD 2" PIPE POST (BROKE OFF) SET IN CONG. @ NE COR SEC 2 WHICH IS 28.20 EAST OF 2" PIPE POST SET IN CONCRETE @ THE SE COR OF SEC 34. I ACCEPTED THE 2" PIPE FD AT THE ABOVE DESCRIBED COR. AFTER MEASUREMENT FROM THE SE COR OF SEC 36 WAS FD TO AGREE CLOSELY WITH AN 1874 SURVEY BY EDWARD RUGER, RECORDED IN VOL 2 PG 86 OF THE ROCK COUNTY SURVEYORS' RECORDS. DIST MEASURED 5330.36 COMPARED TO RUGER'S 5325.54'. SE COR SEC 35 & NE COR SEC 2 ARE @ FENCE INTERSECTIONS.
- 1977 NORTHROP A-21 2" PIPE ? (BROKEN OFF - SET IN CONCRETE) 28.20 WEST OF SE COR SEC 35. @ SE COR SEC 35 2" PIPE POST SET IN CONCRETE. SHOWS SE COR SEC 35 @ INT. OF E/W FENCE & N/S FENCE TO THE NORTH. NE COR SEC 2 IS AT FENCE INT.
- 2001 COMBS #14240 IP WEST 2645.12 TO ALUM @ N 1/4 COR SEC 2.

FD 2" BROKEN OFF PIPE IN CONCRETE, WHICH WAS THE FENCE POST. REC BT - WHITE OAK 18 S25E 85.14'. FD VERY LARGE WHITE OAK WITH A SCARE ON THE NORTH FACE. AT THE SCARE IT IS ABOUT 29 INCHES IN DIAMETER AND FROM THE NW COR SEC 1 IT IS S19-30E 84.3, WHICH CONVERTED TO TRUE NORTH IS S26-37E. THE COUNTY FORESTER REVIEWED MY PHOTOS OF THE TREE, TREE BARK, AND LEAVES. SHE CONFIRMED THAT IT IS A WHITE OAK AND BASED ON THE SIZE IT IS BETWEEN 150-200 YEARS OLD. THIS IS PROBABLY THE ORIGINAL BEARING TREE.

C) Was the corner restored through acceptance of (1) an obliterated evidence location, or, (2) a found perpetuated location?

BASED ON THE WHITE OAK AS THE ORIGINAL BEARING TREE I ACCEPTED THE FD 2" PIPE AS THE CORNER. THIS LOCATION IS IN HARMONY WITH THE LARGE WHITE OAK AND OCCUPATION. ESTABLISHING THE N 1/4 COR OF SEC 1 ON LINE AND MIDWAY BETWEEN THE NW & NE CORNERS OF SEC 1 AS CALLED FOR BY

SHEET 2 OF 4
CORNER CODE A-21(1)

David Barnes
6-10-2009

PO 511 NECORSEC 2

RUGER IS IN HARMONY WITH THE SUBDIVISION OF SEC 1 AS CALLED FOR BY RUGER. I DID NOT ACCEPT THE 2" PIPE POST IN CONCRETE NEAR THE SW COR OF SEC 36. THIS PIPE IS ABOUT 4' HIGH AND IS PART OF THE FENCING. IT IS ABOUT 2' NORTH OF THE OLD E/W FENCE LINE AND ABOUT 3.1' NORTH OF A LINE BETWEEN THE NE COR SEC 2 AND THE N 1/4 COR SEC 2. THE DISTANCE OF 28.19 IS ALSO 4.15' DIFFERENT FROM THE ORIGINAL GOVERNMENT SURVEY AND RUGER'S SURVEY DISTANCE OF 32.34'. GRAVES' DISTANCE OF 29.5 IS ABOUT 1.3' DIFFERENT. DID NOT ACCEPT PIPE FENCE POST @ THE SW COR SEC 36. IT WAS NOT IN HARMONY WITH THE E/W FENCE, THE SOUTH SEC LINE OF SEC 35, OR THE ORIGINAL & RUGER'S NOTES. SEARCHED & DID NOT FIND LEE'S 1888 STAKE & STONES @ THE SW COR SEC 36.

D) Describe any material discrepancy between the location of the corner as restored or reestablished and the location of that corner as previously restored or reestablished by distance and direction. Show the discrepancy on the plan view drawing under (a), above. Show the distances between the corner as previously restored or reestablished and (1) the corner as restored or reestablished, and (2) to at least 4 of the witness monuments shown on the drawing in (a), above.

ACCEPTED THE PIPE AT THE NW COR OF SEC 1 BUT DID NOT ACCEPT NOR ESTABLISH THE SW COR OF SEC 36.

(E) Was the corner reestablished through lost corner proportionate methods? If so, show the method, including the directions and distances to other public land survey corners used as evidence or used for proportioning the corner location.

NO

I, **Donald Barnes**, hereby certify that the corner location as identified by the corner code index was determined by me under my direction and control and that this U.S. Public Land Survey Monument Record is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Seal
Donald Barnes
Emboss
Barnes
6-10-2007

SHEET 3 OF 4

CORNER CODE **A-21(1)**
A.E. 7.08 REQUIREMENT

