U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT RECORD

For Public Land Survey Corners In:

WI ADMINISTRATIVE CODE A-E 7.08 REQUIREMENT

T. 3 N. - R. 10 E. of the 4th P.M.

CORNER CODE INDEX

(PLACE "" SYMBOL AT PROPER PLSS CORNER LOCATION)

D D E G H K K N o Q R W X Y

MAGNOLIA TWP ROCK COUNTY WI

COORDINATES

(GNSS DERIVED VIA WISCORS)

275950.46 ELEV: N/A NORTHING: _


403108.83 EASTING: _


Hor. Datum: WCCS-ROCK, (NA2011)


Vertical Datum: NAVD 88, (If applicable)

(a) LEGEND

- - 5/8" x 24" REBAR SET, (RED CAP W/"PLSS WITNESS")
- (#) PREVIOUS TIE-SHEET DIMENSION
- * Last day of fieldwork, May 7, 2014







Field book: Magnolia 2013

Page: __44

Page 1 of 2 Corner code: _

R-3

B) Describe any record evidence, monument evidence, occupational evidence, testimonial evidence or any other material evidence you considered, and whether the monument was found or placed.

I found the aluminum monument as set per the Volume 5, Page 395 tie-sheet, (Barnes); no witness monuments were shown on the tie sheet. I found two of the four witness pipes as shown on the Volume 4, Page 501 tie-sheet, (Lee). Both pipes were leaning however I straightened and re-set the pipe 47.5', +/-, north of the section corner; the pipe measures within reason to the former distance. I accepted the aluminum monument as found and set four new witnesses per the sketch.

C) Was the corner restored through acceptance of (1) an obliterated evidence location, or, (2) a found perpetuated location?

Found perpetuated location

D) Describe any material discrepancy between the location of the corner as restored or reestablished and the location of that corner as previously restored or reestablished by distance and direction. Show the discrepancy on the plan view drawing under (a), above. Show the distances between the corner as previously restored or reestablished and (1) the corner as restored or reestablished, and (2) to at least 4 of the witness monuments shown on the drawing in (a), above.

I did not find a discrepancy between the corner restored and a previously documented corner location. *Discrepancy* being defined as *disagreeing* with a corner location, *not* as noting dimensional differences between current/former tie sheets due to measuring methods. The current and *most recent* tie-sheet dimensions filed for the corner are noted.

(E) Was the corner reestablished through lost corner proportionate methods? If so, show the method, including the directions and distances to other public land survey corners used as evidence or used for proportioning the corner location.

N/A

I, Jason R. Houle, hereby certify that the corner location as identified by the corner code index was determined by me under my direction and control and that this U.S. Public Land Survey Monument Record is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

CORNER CODE: R-3
(A.E. 7.08 REQUIREMENT)

Page 2 of 2